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## 1. Baire Distance

Let $x, y \in X$ be words over an Alphabet $A$. The Baire distance is

$$
d(x, y)=2^{-\ell(x, y)}
$$

$\ell(x, y)=$ length of longest common initial subword:

length $=$ number of letters from $A$
(with multiple occurrences)

## 1. Baire Distance

Remark. Basis $\frac{1}{2}$ in Baire distance is arbitrary!

- Replace $\frac{1}{2}$ by any fixed $0<\epsilon<1$.

Definition. $\epsilon$-Baire distance:

$$
d_{\epsilon}(x, y)=\epsilon^{\ell(x, y)}
$$

Observe. The metrics $d$ and $d_{\epsilon}$ are equivalent.

## 1. Baire Distance

## Motivation.

- Baire distance is an ultrametric:

$$
d(x, y) \leq \max \{d(x, z), d(x, y)\}
$$

- $p$-adic distance is an $\epsilon$-Baire distance, if (integral) $p$-adic expansions

$$
x=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} p+\alpha_{2} p^{2}+\ldots
$$

are viewed as words

$$
\alpha_{0} \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots
$$

Here, $\epsilon=\frac{1}{p}$.

- Similar for discrete valuation rings, e.g. integer rings of $p$-adic number fields: Then $\epsilon=\frac{1}{q}$ with $q=p^{k}$.


## 2. p-Adic Classification

Observation. Every finite alphabet $A$ embeds into the ring of integers $O_{K}$ of some $p$-adic number field as a (possibly incomplete) set of representants of the residue field $O_{K} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}$.

Typical example. $K=\mathbb{Q}_{p}, O_{K}=\mathbb{Z}_{p}, A \subseteq\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$.

## Consequence.

- Freedom of choice in prime number $p$.
- Freedom of choice in $p$-adic alphabet.

Example.

- No need for large $p$ if alphabet is large.
- Why not use e.g. Teichmüller representatives as alphabet, and exploit their multiplicative structure?


## 2. p-Adic Classification

- p-Adic classification aims at finding hierarchies inherent in data
- Task. Find an embedding of data into a $p$-adic number field Then tree structure of data is fixed
- Traditional classification often imposes hierarchy on data


## 2. p-Adic Classification

Applications.

- 2-Adic image sementation in spectral domain. $A=\{0,1\}$ (Benois-Pineau, Khrennikov, Kotovich 2001)
- Decimal number data. $A=\{0,1, \ldots, 9\}$ (Contreras \& Murtagh 2010)

Usefulness.

- Efficient hierarchical classification
(Murtagh; Benois-Pineau, Khrennikov, Kotovich)
- Good classification results (Contreras \& Murtagh).


## 2. p-Adic Classification

Remark. Set $X$ of words over alphabet $A$ defines a unique dendrogram $D(X)$, i.e. tree representation of $X$ :

where path • - - • represents longest common subword of $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$.

## 2. p-Adic Classification

- Dendrogram $D(X)$ does not depend on $\epsilon$ in $\epsilon$-Baire metric $d_{\epsilon}$
- View nodes of $D(X)$ as clusters, and top node as root.

- Top half-edge points towards $\infty$.


## 2. p-Adic Classification

- Classification means:

$$
X=\coprod c_{i}
$$

i.e. disjoint union of clusters $c_{i}$.

- Classification is obtained by a classification algorithm with some optimization criteria, using $d_{\epsilon}$.
E.g. a splitting algorithm (P.E.B. 2009)
- Optimal classifications depend on $\epsilon$.


## 3. Optimal Baire distance

- Given data $X$
- with set $P=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$ of attributes
- assuming possible values $V=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$

By a permutation $\sigma \in S_{n}$ obtain:

$$
p^{\sigma}(x):=p_{\sigma(1)}(x) p_{\sigma(2)}(x) \ldots p_{\sigma(n)}(x)
$$

a word with letters in alphabet $V$.

- $\sigma$ - $\epsilon$-Baire distance $d_{\epsilon}^{\sigma}(x, y)$.
- Which $\sigma \in S_{n}$ is most suitable for classification?


## 3. Optimal Baire distance

- Look at distances between different words:

| $\sigma$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | e | n | i | g | m | a |  |  |  |
| $x_{2}$ | e | n | i | g | m | a | t | i | c |
| $x_{3}$ | e | n | g | i | n | e |  |  |  |
| $x_{4}$ | t | r | a | i | n | i | n | g |  |

- Average $\sigma$ - $\epsilon$-Baire distance $\times 12$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\sigma} & =\epsilon^{6}+\epsilon^{2}+\epsilon^{0} \\
& +\epsilon^{6}+\epsilon^{2}+\epsilon^{0} \\
& +\epsilon^{2}+\epsilon^{2}+\epsilon^{0} \\
& +\epsilon^{0}+\epsilon^{0}+\epsilon^{0}=6 \epsilon^{0}+4 \epsilon^{2}+2 \epsilon^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Optimal Baire distance

- Dendrogram $D(\sigma, X)$ :

- 1 more dense cluster $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}, 1$ singleton $\left\{x_{4}\right\}$


## 3. Optimal Baire distance

- Now $\tau=(9,8,7,1,2,3,4,5,6)$ :

| $\tau$ | 9 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ |  |  |  | e | n | i | g | m | a |
| $x_{2}$ | c | i | t | e | n | i | g | m | a |
| $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ |  |  |  | e | n | g | i | n | e |
| $\mathrm{x}_{4}$ |  | g | n | t | r | a | i | n | i |

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\tau} & =\epsilon^{0}+\epsilon^{5}+\epsilon^{1} \\
& +\epsilon^{0}+\epsilon^{0}+\epsilon^{0} \\
& +\epsilon^{5}+\epsilon^{0}+\epsilon^{1} \\
& +\epsilon^{1}+\epsilon^{0}+\epsilon^{1}=6 \epsilon^{0}+4 \epsilon^{1}+2 \epsilon^{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Optimal Baire distance

- Dendrogram $D(\tau, X)$ :

- 1 less dense cluster $\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}, 1$ singleton $\left\{x_{2}\right\}$


## 3. Optimal Baire distance

- Now $\rho=(8,3,1,2,4,5,6,7,9)$ :

| $\rho$ | 8 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ |  | i | e | n | g | m | a |  |  |
| $x_{2}$ | i | i | e | n | g | m | a | t | c |
| $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ |  | g | e | n | i | n | e |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{4}$ | g | a | t | r | i | n | i | n |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\rho} & =\epsilon^{0}+\epsilon^{1}+\epsilon^{0} \\
& +\epsilon^{0}+\epsilon^{0}+\epsilon^{0} \\
& +\epsilon^{1}+\epsilon^{0}+\epsilon^{0} \\
& +\epsilon^{0}+\epsilon^{0}+\epsilon^{0}=10 \epsilon^{0}+2 \epsilon^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Optimal Baire distance

- Dendrogram $D(\rho, X)$ :

- 1 less dense cluster $\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}\right\}, 2$ singletons $\left\{x_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{4}\right\}$


## 3. Optimal Baire distance

- Low average $\sigma$ - $\epsilon$-Baire distance leads to lots of common initial features. $\Rightarrow$ high density clusters, few singletons
- $E_{\sigma}=6 \epsilon^{0}+4 \epsilon^{2}+2 \epsilon^{6}$
- Highest average $\sigma$ - $\epsilon$-Baire distance has lots of branching at highest levels in the hierarchy, high number of singletons,
- $E_{\rho}=10 \epsilon^{0}+2 \epsilon^{1}$


## 3. Optimal Baire distance

Task. Find a permutation $\sigma \in S_{n}$ such that

$$
E^{\sigma}(\epsilon, X)=\sum_{x, y \in X} d_{\epsilon}^{\sigma}(x, y)
$$

is minimal.

- optimal Baire distance $d_{\epsilon}^{\sigma}$.

Remark. Optimal $\sigma$ depends on $\epsilon$.
Problem. $\left|S_{n}\right|=n$ ! is quite large for large $n$.

## 3. Optimal Baire distance

- $\Delta=$ combinatorial $n$ - 1 -simplex with corners $N=\{1, \ldots, n\}$
- The faces $F(N)$ are the power set $2^{N}$
- $F_{i}(N)=\{x \in F(n)| | x \mid=i+1\}$ are the $i$-faces of $\Delta$


## 3. Optimal Baire distance

The graph $\Gamma_{\Delta}$

- Vertices: the faces $F(N)$
- Edges: pairs $\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$ with $v \in F_{i}(N), v^{\prime} \in F_{i+1}(N)$, and $v \subseteq v^{\prime}$
- Use

$$
c: 2^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N},
$$

$$
I \mapsto \mid\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in X^{2} \mid x_{1} \neq x_{2} \text { and } \forall i \in I: i\left(x_{1}\right)=i\left(x_{2}\right)\right\} \mid
$$

- Vertex weights:

$$
w(v)=c(v)
$$

- Edge weights:

$$
w(e)=w(v)-w\left(v^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $e=\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$

## 3. Optimal Baire distance

Lemma. $w(e) \geq 0$.

- $\Gamma_{\Delta}$ is a directed acyclic graph with initial vertex $v_{\emptyset}$ and terminal vertex $v_{N}$.
- An injective path $\gamma: v_{\emptyset} \sim v_{J}$ in $\Gamma_{\Delta}$ has $\epsilon$-length

$$
\ell_{\epsilon}(\gamma)=\sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu-1} w\left(e_{\mu}\right) \epsilon^{\mu}
$$

where $\gamma=\left(e_{0}, \ldots, e_{\nu-1}\right)$ (sequence of edges)

## 3. Optimal Baire distance

Definition. Permutation $\sigma \in S_{n}$ is compatible with $\gamma: v_{\emptyset} \leadsto v_{\jmath}$, if

$$
\{\sigma(i)\}=J_{i} \backslash J_{i-1}
$$

where $\gamma$ travels through the sequence of sets $J_{0}=\emptyset, \ldots, J_{\nu}=J$.
Lemma. If $\sigma$ is compatible with $\gamma$, then

$$
E^{\sigma}(\epsilon)-\ell_{\epsilon}(\gamma)=c(N) \epsilon^{n}
$$

i.e. does not depend on $\gamma$.

Corollary. Dijkstra's shortest path algorithm on $\Gamma_{\Delta}$ finds the global minima for $E^{\sigma}(\epsilon)$ with any given $\epsilon \in(0,1)$.

Problem. Size of $\Gamma_{\Delta}$ makes Corollary impractical.

## 3. Optimal Baire distance

- Gradient descent

Theorem. Gradient descent is of run-time complexity at most $O\left(n^{2} \cdot\left|X^{2}\right|\right)$.

Proof.

- In first step, there are $n$ choices for edges.
- After $n$ steps, the permutations are found.
- Finding minimal edge in step $\nu$ is of complexity $O(\nu)$.
- Complexity of computing $w(e)$ is at most $O\left(\left|X^{2}\right|\right)$

Hence, the upper bound.

## 3. Optimal Baire distance

- Gradient descent yields only local minimum.
- For global minimum, take all minimal edges.

Algorithm 1. Input. $\Gamma_{\Delta}$ and weights $w$.
Start. $V_{0}:=\left\{v_{\emptyset}\right\}, E_{0}:=\left\{\right.$ all edges of $\left.\Gamma_{\Delta}\right\}$
Step 1. $E_{1}:=\left\{e \in E_{0} \mid o(e) \in V_{0}\right.$ and $w(e)$ smallest $\}$, $V_{1}:=\left\{t(e) \mid e \in E_{1}\right\}$.

Step $\nu . E_{\nu}:=\left\{e \in E_{\nu-1} \mid o(e) \in V_{\nu-1}\right.$ and $w(e)$ smallest $\}$, $V_{\nu}:=\left\{t(e) \mid e \in E_{\nu}\right\}$.

Output. All paths $\gamma: v_{\emptyset} \leadsto v_{N}$ with smallest sum of weights.

## 3. Optimal Baire distance

Theorem. There is a constant $C \in(0,1)$ such that Algorithm 1 finds a global minimum for $E^{\sigma}(\epsilon)$, whenever $0<\epsilon<C$.

## 3. Optimal Baire distance

## Notation.

- Let $L$ be a list of sets, $I:=\bigcup_{J \in L} J$.
- Then $c_{L}(J):=c(I \cup J)$. Observe: $c_{(\emptyset)}(J)=c(J)$

Algorithm 2. Input $X, N$.

1. Step. $L:=(\emptyset)$ (ordered list)
1.1 Compute $M_{1}^{L}:=\left\{i \mid c:=c_{L}(i)\right.$ is largest $\}$. If $c>0$, continue.
1.2 Choose $i \in M_{1}^{L}$. Find a $j \in M_{1}^{L} \backslash\{i\}$ s.t. $c_{L}(i, j)=c$. If found, then find a $k \in M_{1}^{L} \backslash\{i, j\}$ s.t. $c_{L}(i, j, k)=c$. Etc. Obtain $l_{1} \subseteq M_{1}^{L}$.
1.3 If $I_{1} \neq M_{1}^{L}$, then Step 1.2 with $M_{1}^{L}:=M_{1}^{L} \backslash I_{1}$.
1.4 Obtain $M_{1}^{L}=I_{1} \cup \cdots \cup I_{r}$ (disjoint union) s.t. $c_{L}\left(I_{\rho}\right)=c$.
$1.5 \mathcal{I}_{1}^{L}:=\left\{I_{\rho}| | I_{\rho} \mid\right.$ is largest $\}$.
$\nu$. Step ${ }^{L} . \forall I_{\rho} \in \mathcal{I}_{n-1}$ do
1.1 $L:=$ append $L$ with $I_{\rho}$
1.2 Do as in previous step
1.3 Obtain $\mathcal{I}_{\nu}^{L}$

## 3. Optimal Baire distance

Output. A set of sequences $L=\left(\emptyset, I_{1}, \ldots, I_{\nu}\right)$ with disjoint $I_{\mu} \in \mathcal{I}^{\left(I_{1}, \ldots, I_{\mu}\right)}$ of cardinality $i_{\mu}$.

- The permutations corresponding to $L$ are all $\sigma \in S_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma\left(\left\{1, \ldots, i_{1}\right\}\right) & =I_{1} \\
\sigma\left(\left\{i_{1}+1, \ldots, i_{1}+i_{2}\right\}\right) & =I_{2} \\
\vdots & \\
\sigma\left(\left\{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{\nu-1}+1, \ldots, i_{1}+\ldots, i_{\nu}\right\}\right) & =I_{\nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

- I:= $\bigcup_{J \in L} J \Rightarrow \sigma\left(\left\{i_{\nu}+1, \ldots, n\right\}\right)=N \backslash I$
- $\forall j \in N \backslash I: c_{l}(j)=0$,
i.e. coincidences occur here only on the diagonal


## 3. Optimal Baire distance

Theorem. Algorithm 2 computes all $\sigma$ such that $E^{\sigma}(t) \in \mathbb{N}[t]$ is lexicographically minimal.

## 3. Optimal Baire distance

Example.

| $\sigma$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | e | n | i | g | m | a |  |  |  |
| $x_{2}$ | e | n | i | g | m | a | t | i | c |
| $x_{3}$ | e | n | g | i | n | e |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{4}$ | t | r | a | i | n | i | n | g |  |

- Step 1. $c_{(\emptyset)}(1)=c_{(\emptyset)}(2)=c_{(\emptyset)}(9)=6 . M_{1}^{(\emptyset)}=\{1,2,9\}$
$c_{(\emptyset)}(1,2)=6, c_{(\emptyset)}(1,2,9)=2 \Rightarrow I_{1}=\{1,2\}, I_{2}=\{9\}$ $\mathcal{I}_{1}^{(\emptyset)}=\left\{I_{1}\right\}$


## 3. Optimal Baire distance

Example.

| $\sigma$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | e | n | i | g | m | a |  |  |  |
| $x_{2}$ | e | n | i | g | m | a | t | i | c |
| $x_{3}$ | e | n | g | i | n | e |  |  |  |
| $x_{4}$ | t | r | a | i | n | i | n | g |  |

- $I_{1}=\{1,2\}$
- Step 2. $c_{\left(\emptyset, l_{1}\right)}(3)=\cdots=c_{\left(\emptyset, l_{1}\right)}(9)=2 . M_{2}^{\left(\emptyset, l_{1}\right)}=\{3, \ldots, 9\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{\left(\emptyset, I_{1}\right)}(3,4)=c_{\left(\emptyset, I_{1}\right)}(3,4,5)=c_{\left(\emptyset, I_{1}\right)}(3,4,5,6)=2, \\
& c_{\left(\emptyset, I_{1}\right)}(3,4,5,6,7)=0 \Rightarrow I_{2}=\{3,4,5,6\}, \\
& \left|M_{2}^{\left(\emptyset, I_{1}\right)} \backslash I_{2}\right|=3<\left|I_{2}\right| . \quad \mathcal{I}_{2}^{\left(\emptyset, I_{1}\right)}=\left\{I_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Optimal Baire distance

Example.

| $\sigma$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | e | n | i | g | m | a |  |  |  |
| $x_{2}$ | e | n | i | g | m | a | t | i | c |
| $x_{3}$ | e | n | g | i | n | e |  |  |  |
| $x_{4}$ | t | r | a | i | n | i | n | g |  |

- $I_{1}=\{1,2\}, I_{2}=\{3,4,5,6\}$
- Step 3. $c_{\left(\emptyset, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)}(7)=c_{\left(\emptyset, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)}(8)=c_{\left(\emptyset, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)}(9)=0$.


## 3. Optimal Baire distance

Example.

| $\sigma$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | e | n | i | g | m | a |  |  |  |
| $x_{2}$ | e | n | i | g | m | a | t | i | c |
| $x_{3}$ | e | n | g | i | n | e |  |  |  |
| $x_{4}$ | t | r | a | i | n | i | n | g |  |

- Output. $L=\left\{\emptyset, I_{1}, I_{2}\right\}$
- $I_{1}=\{1,2\}, I_{2}=\{3,4,5,6\}, N \backslash\left(I_{1} \cup I_{2}\right)=\{7,8,9\}$
- Any optimal $\sigma$ permutes first $\{1,2\}$, then $\{3,4,5,6\}$, then $\{7,8,9\}$
- $E^{\sigma}(t)=6+4 t^{2}+2 t^{6}$


## 3. Optimal Baire distance

## Complexity.

Worst case.

| $\sigma$ | 1 | 2 | $\cdots$ | $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| $x_{2}$ |  | $\times$ |  |  |
| $\vdots$ |  |  | $\ddots$ |  |
| $x_{n}$ |  |  |  | $\times$ |

- $c(I)$ is constant on all sets $/$ of constant cardinality
- Hence, all permutations of $N$ are computed.
- This example is pathologic: No preferred permutations!


## 3. Optimal Baire distance

## Expected complexity.

- In general, $c(I)=0$ for $|I|$ large can be expected.
- The set $\{I \subseteq N \mid c(I)>0\}$ is in general sparse.
- This should make Algorithm 3 practical in general.


## 4. Application

1. Hyperspectral data (with Andreas Braun)

- AVIRIS Indian Pines dataset: $145 \times 145$ pixels with 220 spectral channels
- Coincidences due to signal vs. Coincidences due to noise $\Rightarrow$ PCA yields six components explaining 99.66\% of total variance
- Reduce to six variables
- Find optimal permutations in $S_{6}$ at different resolutions
- Incorporate these into a multi-Baire-kernel SVM
- Results are comparable to a Linear SVM, more complete results in most classes for multi-Baire-kernel SVM


## 4. Application

## Byzantine Chant.

- single melody
- drone (Ison)

Skope of Chant.

- Enhance a poetic liturgical text
- Highlight important words
- Bring mind and heart to contents of text
- Audible Icon


## 4. Application

## Byzantine Chant.

Idea of classification steps.

- Highlight emphasized syllables (they occur in important words)
- Identify Cadenzas
- Cadenzas are intermediate (end of thought) or final (end of phrase)
- Bring phrases of different lengths to uniform length by inserting blanks
- The unemphasized syllables (somehow) lead to the pitch of the next emphasized syllable
- "somehow" depends on number of syllables and musical distance to target pitch
- Melody usually stays on one pitch, or moves one step. Larger jumps occur on occasion.


## 4. Application

## Paschal Canon, Tone 1.

It is the dáy of Resurréction! Let us be rádiant, o ye péoples!
Final Cadenza: Páscha, the Lórd's Páscha!
Alaska:
For from death to lífe, and from earth to héaven has Christ our God brought us
Boston:
For Christ God hath brought us from death unto lífe, and from earth unto héaven

Final Cadenza: as we sing the triúmphal hymn.
Refrain. Christ is risen from the dead.
http://www.musicarussica.com/compact_discs/i-099
http://www.musicarussica.com/compact_discs/i-083

## 4. Application

Question. $\exists$ optimal $\sigma$ which brings highlighted syllables first, in order of occurence, then other syllables in some order?

- Phrases without final cadenzas ${ }^{B}=$ Boston, ${ }^{A}=$ Alaska

- Final Cadenzas:


